

**REMARKS**


Claim 1 is pending in this application. In the December 5, 2005 Advisory Action, the Examiner rejected Claim 1.

In a previous response, Applicants explained that the cited art did not disclose a light transmitting layer and a substrate. In the Advisory Action, the Examiner does not appear to dispute Applicants' argument, but instead states that the present claim does not purportedly recite that the substrate is a separate layer from the light transmitting layer. Although Applicants disagree with the Examiner's interpretation of claim 1, Applicants have hereby amended claim 1 to more clearly recite that the substrate is a separate layer from the light transmitting layer. In particular, claim 1 now recites "a substrate; a data-recording layer made of organic dye material directly formed on the substrate; a dielectric part on the data-recording layer; and a light-transmitting layer on the dielectric part and adhered to the dielectric part with an adhesive agent."

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 1 is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By:



David Rozenblat, Reg. No. 47,044  
SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP  
P.O. Box #061080  
Wacker Drive Station  
Sears Tower  
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080